AARMS-CRM Workshop on NA of SPDEs, July 2016 http://www.math.mun.ca/~smaclachlan/anasc_spde/

Short course on Numerical Analysis of Singularly Perturbed Differential Equations

Niall Madden, NUI Galway (Niall.Madden@NUIGalway.ie)

§7 Finite Element Methods

Version 22.07.16



Handout version.

AARMS-CRM Workshop on NA of SPDEs, July 2016: §7 Finite Element Methods

	Monday, 25 July	Tuesday, 26 July		
09:00	Welcome/Coffee			
09:15	1. Introduction to singularly perturbed	5. PDEs (i): time-dependent problems.		
	problems			
10:00	Break			
10:15	2. Numerical methods and uniform	6. PDEs (ii): elliptic problems		
	convergence; FDMs and their analysis.	7. Finite Element Methods		
12:00	Lunch			
14:00	3. Coupled systems	8. Convection-diffusion (Stynes)		
15:00	Break			
15:15	Coupled systems (continued)	9. Nonlinear problems (Kopteva)		
16:15	4. Lab 1	10. Lab 2 (PDEs)		
17:30	Finish			

$\S7$. Finite Element Methods

(60 minutes)

In this section we will study the analysis of a **finite element method**, of PDEs of the form

$$-\epsilon^2\Delta u+bu=f\qquad \text{ on }\Omega:=(0,1)^d.$$

We will use a standard Galerkin method on a tensor product space with bilinear elements, on a Shishkin mesh (again!). Analysing the method, we'll obtain an error estimate that is *parameter robust*, in the sense that dependence on ε is entirely accounted for.

However, the estimate is not

independent of ε , we will finish with a discussion of appropriate norms for this problem.

1 A 2D, SP, reaction-diffusion equation

- Notation
- Solution decomposition
- 2 The Shishkin mesh
- 3 Interpolation
- 4 The Galerkin FEM
- 5 Numerical Example
- 6 Other norms
 - A simple 1D example
 - Balanced norms and analyses
- 7 References

Primary references

The main reference to this section is [Liu et al., 2009]. Although that article is primarily about a *sparse grid method*, it also provides a sharp analysis of a standard Galerkin FEM.

Again, we'll rely on the solution decomposition whose exposition was presented in [Clavero et al., 2005].

If you would like to read some more about FEMs+SPPS, a good starting point would be [Linß and Madden, 2004], which has a simple analysis of a system of two coupled reaction-diffusion problems in one-dimension, on Shishkin and Bakhvalov meshes.

As usual, the monograph [Linß, 2010] gives a more detailed analysis, including sections on quadrature, etc. See also [Roos et al., 2008].

The more recent material, on balanced norms, is motivated by [Lin and Stynes, 2012], and the discussion in [Adler et al., 2016].

A 2D singularly perturbed problem

$$\label{eq:alpha} -\epsilon^2(u_{xx}+u_{yy})+b(x,y)u=f(x,y), \mbox{ on } \Omega:=(0,1)^2 \qquad u|_\partial\Omega=0. \tag{1}$$



As before, we expect the solution to exhibit 9 distinct regions: the interior, four edge layer regions, and four corner layer regions.

A 2D singularly perturbed problem

$$-\epsilon^{2}(u_{xx} + u_{yy}) + b(x, y)u = f(x, y), \text{ on } \Omega := (0, 1)^{2} \qquad u|_{\partial}\Omega = 0.$$
 (2)

As usual, $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$, but also $b(x, y) \ge 2\beta^2 > 0$.

We assume that f, $b \in C^{4,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ for some $\alpha \in (0,1]$. It follows that $u \in C^{6,\alpha}(\Omega)$. We also assume that f vanishes at each corner of $\overline{\Omega}$ to ensure that $u \in C^{3,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$.

The edges of $\partial \Omega$ are

$$\begin{split} &\Gamma_1 := \{(x,0) | 0 \leqslant x \leqslant 1\}, \quad \Gamma_2 := \{(0,y) | 0 \leqslant y \leqslant 1\}, \\ &\Gamma_3 := \{(x,1) | 0 \leqslant x \leqslant 1\}, \quad \Gamma_4 := \{(1,y) | 0 \leqslant y \leqslant 1\}. \end{split}$$

Label the corners of $\bar\Omega$ as c_1,c_2,c_3,c_4 where c_1 is (0,0) and the numbering is clockwise.



Notation

A 2D, SP, reaction-diffusion equation

Again, we make use of the Shishkin decomposition from [Clavero et al., 2005], with minor variations.

Subject to the assumptions that b, $f\in C^{4,\alpha}(\bar\Omega)$, and corner compatibility conditions, the solution u can be decomposed as

$$u = v + w + z = v + \sum_{i=1}^{4} w_i + \sum_{i=1}^{4} z_i$$
,

where each w_i is a layer associated with the edge Γ_i , and each z_i is a layer associated with the corner c_i . There exists a constant C such that

$$\begin{split} & \left| \frac{\partial^{m+n} \nu}{\partial x^m \partial y^n}(x, y) \right| \leqslant C(1 + \epsilon^{2-m-n}), & 0 \leqslant m+n \leqslant 4, \\ & \left| \frac{\partial^{m+n} w_1}{\partial x^m \partial y^n}(x, y) \right| \leqslant C(1 + \epsilon^{2-m}) \epsilon^{-n} e^{-\beta y/\epsilon} & 0 \leqslant m+n \leqslant 3, \\ & \left| \frac{\partial^{m+n} w_2}{\partial x^m \partial y^n}(x, y) \right| \leqslant C(1 + \epsilon^{2-n}) \epsilon^{-m} e^{-\beta x/\epsilon} & 0 \leqslant m+n \leqslant 3, \\ & \left| \frac{\partial^{m+n} z_1}{\partial x^m \partial y^n}(x, y) \right| \leqslant C \epsilon^{-m-n} e^{-\beta (x+y)/\epsilon} & 0 \leqslant m+n \leqslant 3, \end{split}$$

with analogous bounds for w_3 , w_4 , z_2 , z_3 and z_4 .

The Shishkin mesh

We use the same Shishkin mesh as for the Finite Difference method. Define

$$\tau_{\pmb{\epsilon}} = \text{min} \left\{ \frac{1}{4}, \ 2 {\pmb{\epsilon}} \beta^{-1} \ln N \right\}.$$



The Shishkin mesh

We will consider the case where $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ is so small that

$$\tau_{\epsilon} = 2\epsilon \beta^{-1} \ln N.$$

Partition Ω as follows: $\overline{\Omega} = \Omega_{II} \cup \Omega_{BI} \cup \Omega_{IB} \cup \Omega_{BB}$, where



The Shishkin mesh



Given a one-dimensional mesh, $\Omega_x^N,$ let V^N be the associated space of piecewise linear functions.

Let $I^N:C[0,1]\to V^N[0,1]$ be the usual piecewise linear Lagrange interpolation operator associated with $V^N.$

Let $p\in[2,\infty]$ and $\varphi\in W^{2,p}[0,1].$ Then the piecewise linear interpolant $I_N\varphi$ of φ satisfies the bounds

$$\begin{split} \| \varphi - I_{N} \varphi \|_{0,p,[x_{i-1},x_{i}]} + h_{i} \| (\varphi - I_{N} \varphi)' \|_{0,p,[x_{i-1},x_{i}]} \leqslant \\ & C \min \left\{ h_{i} \| \varphi' \|_{0,p,[x_{i-1},x_{i}]}, \ h_{i}^{2} \| \varphi'' \|_{0,p,[x_{i-1},x_{i}]} \right\}. \end{split}$$

From standard inverse inequalities in one dimension one sees easily that

$$h_{x}\left\|\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial x}\right\|_{0,K}+k_{y}\left\|\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial y}\right\|_{0,K}\leqslant\|\psi\|_{0,K}\quad\forall\psi\in V^{N_{x},N_{y}}(\Omega),\quad\forall K\in T^{N_{x},N_{y}}(\Omega),$$
(3)

where the rectangle K has size $h_x \times k_y.$

The Shishkin mesh is highly anisotropic on $\Omega_{IB} \cup \Omega_{BI}$, and to obtain satisfactory interpolation error estimates on this region one uses the sharp anisotropic interpolation analysis of [Apel, 1999, Apel and Dobrowolski, 1992]:

Lemma

Let τ be any mesh rectangle of size $h_x \times k_y$. Let $\varphi \in H^2(\tau)$. Then its piecewise bilinear nodal interpolant φ^I satisfies the bounds

$$\begin{split} \| \varphi - \varphi^I \|_{0,\tau} &\leq C \left(h_x^2 \| \varphi_{xx} \|_{0,\tau} + h_x k_y \| \varphi_{xy} \|_{0,\tau} + k_y^2 \| \varphi_{yy} \|_{0,\tau} \right) \\ \| (\varphi - \varphi^I)_x \|_{0,\tau} &\leq C \left(h_x \| \varphi_{xx} \|_{0,\tau} + k_y \| \varphi_{xy} \|_{0,\tau} \right), \\ \| (\varphi - \varphi^I)_y \|_{0,\tau} &\leq C \left(h_x \| \varphi_{xy} \|_{0,\tau} + k_y \| \varphi_{yy} \|_{0,\tau} \right). \end{split}$$

Equipped with these results, we would like to prove that

Lemma

There exists a constant C such that

$$\|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{I}_{\mathsf{N},\mathsf{N}}\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbf{0},\Omega} \leqslant \mathsf{C}\mathsf{N}^{-2}. \tag{4a}$$

and

$$\epsilon \|\nabla (u-I_{N,N}u)\|_{0,\Omega} \leqslant C(N^{-2}+\epsilon^{1/2}N^{-1}\ln N). \tag{4b}$$

Here we will give an account of how the bound for the (4b) term is obtained. From the solution decomposition,

$$\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \|\nabla (\boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{I}_{\mathsf{N},\mathsf{N}}\boldsymbol{u})\|_{\boldsymbol{0},\Omega} = \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \left\| \nabla \left((\boldsymbol{I} - \boldsymbol{I}_{\mathsf{N},\mathsf{N}}) \left(\boldsymbol{v} + \sum_{k=1}^{4} w_{k} + \sum_{k=1}^{4} \boldsymbol{z}_{k} \right) \right) \right\|_{\boldsymbol{0},\Omega}$$

Each term in this decomposition is bounded separately. First, standard arguments give,

$$\epsilon \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\nu - I_{N,N} \nu) \right\|_{0,\Omega} \leqslant C \epsilon N^{-1} |\nu|_{2,\Omega} \leqslant C N^{-2}.$$

Recall that w_1 is the term associated with Γ_1 , and, so $w_1(x, y) \sim e^{-y\beta/\epsilon}$. That fact, and the anisotropic interpolation results, give

$$\begin{split} \epsilon \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (w_1 - I_{N,N} w_1) \right\|_{0,\Omega_{II} \cup \Omega_{BI}} &\leq C \epsilon N^{-1} \bigg(\left\| \frac{\partial^2 w_1}{\partial x^2} \right\|_{0,\Omega_{II} \cup \Omega_{BI}} \\ &+ \left\| \frac{\partial^2 w_1}{\partial x \partial y} \right\|_{0,\Omega_{II} \cup \Omega_{BI}} \bigg) \\ &\leq C \epsilon N^{-1} \left(1 + \max_{(x,y) \in \Omega_{II} \cup \Omega_{BI}} \epsilon^{-1} e^{-\beta y/\epsilon} \right) \\ &\leq C N^{-2}. \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} & \mathsf{On}\;\Omega_{\mathrm{IB}}\cup\Omega_{\mathrm{BB}},\\ & \epsilon \left\| \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (w_1 - \mathrm{I}_{N,N}w_1) \right\|_{0,\Omega_{\mathrm{IB}}\cup\Omega_{\mathrm{BB}}} \right\| \leqslant C \epsilon \bigg[N^{-1} \left\| \left. \frac{\partial^2 w_1}{\partial x^2} \right\|_{0,\Omega_{\mathrm{IB}}\cup\Omega_{\mathrm{BB}}} \right. \\ & \left. + \epsilon N^{-1} (\ln N) \left\| \left. \frac{\partial^2 w_1}{\partial x \partial y} \right\|_{0,\Omega_{\mathrm{IB}}\cup\Omega_{\mathrm{BB}}} \right] \\ & \leqslant C N^{-2}. \end{split}$$

Thus
$$\epsilon \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (w_1 - I_{N,N} w_1) \right\|_{0,\Omega} \leqslant C N^{-2}$$

Next recall that w_2 is the component associated with the edge layer near Γ_2 . So, roughly, $w_2(x, y) \sim e^{-x\beta/\epsilon}$.

Similar to above, we can show that $\epsilon\|(w_2-I_{N,N}w_2)_x\|_{0,\Omega_{11}\cup\Omega_{1B}}\leqslant N^{-2}.$ However, the most significant term is

$$\epsilon \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (w_2 - I_{N,N} w_2) \right\|_{0,\Omega_{BI} \cup \Omega_{BB}} \leq C \epsilon \left[\epsilon N^{-1} (\ln N) \left\| \frac{\partial^2 w_2}{\partial x^2} \right\|_{0,\Omega_{BI} \cup \Omega_{BB}} + N^{-1} \left\| \frac{\partial^2 w_2}{\partial x \partial y} \right\|_{0,\Omega_{BI} \cup \Omega_{BB}} \right] \leq C \epsilon^{1/2} N^{-1} \ln N.$$

Consequently,

$$\epsilon \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (w_2 - I_{N,N} w_2) \right\|_{0,\Omega} \leqslant C (N^{-2} + \epsilon^{1/2} N^{-1} \ln N).$$

Analogous results are valid for w_3, w_4 and the corner layer terms, z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4 . Gathering these results yields $\epsilon \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (u - I_{N,N} u) \right\|_{0,\Omega} \leqslant C(N^{-2} + \epsilon^{1/2} N^{-1} \ln N)$. The same estimate is valid for $\epsilon \| \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (u - I_{N,N} u) \|_{0,\Omega}$. It is then clear that

Theorem

There exists a constant C such that

 $\|\boldsymbol{\mathfrak{u}}-\boldsymbol{I}_{N,N}\boldsymbol{\mathfrak{u}}\|_{\boldsymbol{0},\Omega}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\|\nabla(\boldsymbol{\mathfrak{u}}-\boldsymbol{I}_{N,N}\boldsymbol{\mathfrak{u}})\|_{\boldsymbol{0},\Omega}\leqslant C(N^{-2}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{1/2}N^{-1}\ln N).$

The Galerkin FEM

The variational formulation of (2) is: find $u \in H^1_0(\Omega)$ such that

$$B(\mathfrak{u}, \nu) := \varepsilon^{2}(\nabla \mathfrak{u}, \nabla \nu) + (\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{u}, \nu) = (\mathfrak{f}, \nu) \quad \forall \nu \in H^{1}_{0}(\Omega)$$

Define an associated energy norm

$$\|\boldsymbol{\nu}\|_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} := \left\{ \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^2 \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\nu}\|_{\boldsymbol{0},\Omega}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\nu}\|_{\boldsymbol{0},\Omega}^2 \right\}^{1/2}.$$

This bilinear form is coercive with respect to this norm:

$$B(\nu,\nu) = \epsilon^2 \left\| \frac{\partial \nu}{\partial x} \right\|_{0,\Omega}^2 + \epsilon^2 \left\| \frac{\partial \nu}{\partial y} \right\|_{0,\Omega}^2 + b \|\nu\|_{0,\Omega}^2 \ge \min\{1, 2\beta^2\} \|\nu\|_{\epsilon}^2 \quad \forall \nu \in H^1_0(\Omega).$$

Furthermore it is continuous

 $|\mathsf{B}(\mathsf{v},w)| \leqslant (2+\|\mathsf{b}\|_{0,\infty,\Omega}) \|\mathsf{v}\|_{\varepsilon} \|w\|_{\varepsilon} \quad \forall \mathsf{v},w \in \mathsf{H}^{1}_{0}(\Omega).$

The Galerkin FEM

Define the Galerkin finite element approximation $u_{N,N} \in V_0^{N,N}(\Omega)$

$$B(\mathfrak{u}_{N,N},\mathfrak{v}_{N,N})=(f,\mathfrak{v}_{N,N})\quad\forall\mathfrak{v}_{N,N}\in V_0^{N,N}(\Omega).$$

Classical finite element arguments based on coercivity and Galerkin orthogonality yields the quasioptimal bound

$$\|u-u_{\mathsf{N},\mathsf{N}}\|_{\epsilon}\leqslant C\inf_{\varphi\in V_0^{\mathsf{N},\mathsf{N}}(\Omega)}\|u-\varphi\|_{\epsilon}\leqslant \|u-I_{\mathsf{N},\mathsf{N}}u\|_{\epsilon}.$$

It then follows that...

Theorem

There exists a constant C such that

$$\|\boldsymbol{\mathfrak{u}}-\boldsymbol{\mathfrak{u}}_{N,N}\|_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}\leqslant C(N^{-2}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{1/2}N^{-1}\ln N).$$

Example

$$-\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^2 \Delta \boldsymbol{\mathfrak{u}} + \big(1+x^2y^2\boldsymbol{e}^{xy/2}\big)\boldsymbol{\mathfrak{u}} = \boldsymbol{\mathsf{f}} \quad \text{on } \boldsymbol{\Omega} := (0,1)^2,$$

where f and the boundary conditions are chosen so that

$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{u} &= x^3(1+y^2) + \sin(\pi x^2) + \cos(\pi y/2) \\ &\quad + (x+y) \big(e^{-2x/\epsilon} + e^{-2(1-x)/\epsilon} + e^{-3y/\epsilon} + e^{-3(1-y)/\epsilon} \big). \end{split}$$

ε ²	$N = 2^4$	$N = 2^{6}$	$N = 2^8$	$N = 2^{10}$
1	3.395e-1	8.714e-2	2.190e-2	5.482e-3
10 ⁻²	4.618e-1	1.572e-1	4.214e-2	1.070e-2
10 ⁻⁴	2.287e-1	1.578e-1	7.228e-2	2.510e-2
10 ⁻⁶	7.220e-2	4.979e-2	2.280e-2	7.921e-3
10 ⁻⁸	2.361e-2	1.574e-2	7.211e-3	2.504e-3
10^{-10}	9.621e-3	4.992e-3	2.280e-3	7.919e-4
10 ⁻¹²	6.787e-3	1.619e-3	7.214e-4	2.504e-4
10 ⁻¹⁴	6.435e-3	6.265e-4	2.292e-4	7.920e-5

Other norms

The above results are somewhat suspect looking... although the method does resolve layers, the error, in both theory and practice, shows an ε -dependency. However, it is observed that (subject to sufficient regularlity),

$$\|\mathfrak{u} - \mathfrak{u}_{N,N}\|_{\infty,\bar{\Omega}^N} \leqslant CN^{-2}.$$

So, in some sense, the difficulty is with the norm, rather than the method.

Other norms

Consider this very simple one-dimensional singularly perturbed reaction-diffusion problem:

$$-\varepsilon^{2} \mathfrak{u}''(\mathbf{x}) + \mathfrak{u}(\mathbf{x}) = 0 \text{ on } (0, 1),$$
$$\mathfrak{u}(0) = 1 \mathfrak{u}(1) - e^{-1/\varepsilon} (\simeq 0)$$

Its solution is $u(x) = e^{-x/\epsilon}$.



As $\varepsilon \to 0$, we get that $\|u\|_0 \to 0$, even though $\|u\|_{\infty} \to 1$.

Trivially, this shows that $u^h \equiv 0$ is a terrible approximation to u with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$, but rather good with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{0}$.

Other norms

Slightly less trivially, try solving this problem with a standard Galerkin FEM. The weak form is:

$$B(u,\nu):=\int_0^1 \epsilon^2 u'(x)\nu'(x)+u(x)\nu(x), \qquad (f,\nu):=\int_0^1 f(x)\nu(x),$$

and find $u \in H_0^1(0, 1)$.

$$B(\mathfrak{u},\nu)=(f,\nu) \quad \text{ for all } \nu\in H^1_0(0,1).$$

The energy norm is

$$\|g\|_{\varepsilon} := \left(\varepsilon^2 \|g'\|_0^2 + \|g\|_0^2\right)^{1/2}.$$

But this norm is weak, since

$$\left(\epsilon^2 \|\mathbf{u}'\|_0 + \|\mathbf{u}\|_0\right)^{1/2} \approx \sqrt{\epsilon}.$$

In contrast,

$$\left(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \| \boldsymbol{u}' \|_2 + \| \boldsymbol{u} \|_2 \right)^{1/2} \approx 1.$$

Suppose we did try to solve our simple ODE with a Galerkin FEM with linear elements on a uniform mesh... Clearly, even though this is a "good" estimate at mesh points, it is clear that

$$\|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_N\|_{\infty,\Omega} \sim \mathcal{O}(1).$$



It is known ([Bagaev and Shaĭdurov, 1998], [Farrell et al., 2000]) that

 $\|\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{u}^{\mathsf{N}}\|_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \leq \mathsf{C}\mathsf{N}^{-1/2}.$

So we now have two problems with the energy norm:

- it appears to show robust convergence even when layers are not being resolved.
- On the layer-resolving Shishkin mesh, the $O(\epsilon^{1/2}N^{-1}\ln N)$ quantity demonstrates that this norm is not "balanced".

There are several approaches to resolving the problem of the weakness of the usual energy norm for this problem:

(a) Analyse a standard FEM (on a suitable mesh), but with respect to a stronger norm, such as

$$\|v\|_{\text{bal}} := \left(\epsilon \|\nabla v\|_0^2 + \|v\|_0^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

This is done in [Roos and Schopf, 2014], and also [Melenk and Xenophontos, 2015].

(b) Design a new FEM for which the natural induced norm is balanced. E.g.,

- In [Lin and Stynes, 2012], this is done using a first-order system approach.
- In FOSLS-type setting, see [Adler et al., 2016]
- In [Roos and Schopf, 2014], a C⁰ interior penalty (CIP) method is constructed.



Adler, J., MacLachlan, S., and Madden, N. (2016).

A first-order system Petrov-Galerkin discretization for a reaction-diffusion problem on a fitted mesh.

IMA Journal of Numerical Analysis, 36(3):1281–1309. 10.1093/imanum/drv045.



Apel, T. (1999).

Anisotropic finite elements: Local estimates and applications. Advances in Numerical Mathematics. B.G. Teubner, Stuttgart.

Apel, T. and Dobrowolski, M. (1992).

Anisotropic interpolation with applications to the finite element method. *Computing*, 47:277–293.



Bagaev, B. M. and Shaĭdurov, V. V. (1998).

Setochnye metody resheniya zadach s pogranichnym sloem. Chast 1. "Nauka", Sibirskoe Predpriyatie RAN, Novosibirsk.



Clavero, C., Gracia, J. L., and O'Riordan, E. (2005).

A parameter robust numerical method for a two dimensional reaction-diffusion problem.

```
Math. Comp., 74(252):1743-1758.
```



Farrell, P. A., Hegarty, A. F., Miller, J. J. H., O'Riordan, E., and Shishkin, G. I. (2000).

Robust Computational Techniques for Boundary Layers.

Number 16 in Applied Mathematics. Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, U.S.A.

Lin, R. and Stynes, M. (2012).

A balanced finite element method for singularly perturbed reaction-diffusion problems.

SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 50(5):2729-2743.



Linß, T. (2010).

Layer-adapted meshes for reaction-convection-diffusion problems, volume 1985 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*.

Springer-Verlag, Berlin.



Linß, T. and Madden, N. (2004).

A finite element analysis of a coupled system of singularly perturbed reaction-diffusion equations.

Appl. Math. Comp., 148:869-880.



Liu, F., Madden, N., Stynes, M., and Zhou, A. (2009).

A two-scale sparse grid method for a singularly perturbed reaction–diffusion problem in two dimensions.

IMA J. Numer. Anal., 29(4):986–1007.



Melenk, J. and Xenophontos, C. (2015).

Robust exponential convergence of hp-fem in balanced norms for singularly perturbed reaction-diffusion equations.

Calcolo, pages 1-28.



Roos, H.-G. and Schopf, M. (2014).

Convergence and stability in balanced norms of finite element methods on Shishkin meshes for reaction-diffusion problems.

ZAMM, Z. Angew. Math. Mech. doi: 10.1002/zamm.201300226.

Roos, H.-G., Stynes, M., and Tobiska, L. (2008).

Robust Numerical Methods for Singularly Perturbed Differential Equations, volume 24 of Springer Series in Computational Mathematics.

Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2nd edition.